

# Seed-set evaluation of four male-sterile, female-fertile soybean lines using alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees as pollinators

E. ORTIZ-PEREZ<sup>1</sup>\*, R. M. A. MIAN<sup>2</sup>, R. L. COOPER<sup>2</sup>, T. MENDIOLA<sup>2</sup>, J. TEW<sup>3</sup>,  
H. T. HORNER<sup>4</sup>, S. J. HANLIN<sup>5</sup> AND R. G. PALMER<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-1010, USA

<sup>2</sup> USDA-ARS, Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, The Ohio State University, OARDC, Wooster, OH 44691, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Entomology, The Ohio State University, OARDC, Wooster, OH 44691, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Genetics, Development and Cell Biology, and Microscopy and NanoImaging Facility, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-1020, USA

<sup>5</sup> USDA-ARS North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-1170, USA

<sup>6</sup> USDA-ARS-CICGR, and Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-1010, USA

(Revised MS received 14 October 2007; First published online 21 January 2008)

## SUMMARY

Male-sterile, female-fertile plants were used to produce hybrid soybean seed. Manual cross-pollination using male-sterile plants to produce large quantities of hybrid seed is difficult and time-consuming because of the low success rate in cross-pollination. Insect pollinators may be suitable vectors to transfer pollen, but the most suitable vector for pollen transfer from the male parent to the female parent has not been identified for soybean. The objective of the present study was to evaluate seed-set on four male-sterile, female-fertile soybean lines by using alfalfa leafcutting bees (*Megachile rotundata* (F.)) and honey bees (*Apis mellifera* (L.)) as pollinators. Seed-set was evaluated in summers 2003 and 2005 near Ames, Iowa, USA and in summers 2003, 2004, and 2005 near Wooster, Ohio, USA. Neither the effect of pollinator species nor the interaction effect of pollinator species  $\times$  location was significant for any year. Honey bees performed similarly to alfalfa leafcutting bees at both locations. The results indicated significant differences for seed-set among male-sterile lines, suggesting preferential pollination. Male-sterile lines, *ms1* (Urbana) and *ms2* (Ames 2), had higher cross-pollinated seed-set compared to *ms6* (Ames 1), and *ms6* (Corsoy 79). At the Ames location, *ms1ms1* (Urbana) plants had the highest seed-set (50.16 seeds per male-sterile plant in 2005). At the Wooster location, *ms1ms1* (Urbana) plants also had the highest seed-set (92.04 seeds per male-sterile plant) in 2005. Costs and local conditions need to be addressed to support the choice of either pollinator species as a pollination vector to produce hybrid soybean seed.

## INTRODUCTION

Soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merr., is an autogamous plant; however, it presents traits for pollinator insect attraction found in allogamous plant species (Juliano 1976; Erickson & Garment 1979; Arroyo 1981; Erickson 1983; Delaplane & Mayer 2000; Horner *et al.* 2003). Bradner (1969) stated that all of the

breeding components existed for hybrid seed production in soybean, except that a suitable vector for pollen transfer from the male parent to the female parent had not been found. Bee pollinators may be the best means by which pollen can be transferred between parents. There are more than 3500 species of bees in North America (Smith-Heavenrich 1998). Only a small number of these species are social, living together in manageable colonies and most species are solitary. Both solitary and social species are important in crop pollination, but the social species are more

\* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.  
Email: evelynortiz\_perez@yahoo.com

easily managed. The honey bee, *Apis mellifera* (L.), represents the most highly-developed example of social organization in bees. Its complex division of labour is made possible through the development of communication, and ongoing food inter-exchange and stimulation provided through body contact (Stephen *et al.* 1969). In contrast, solitary bees live alone or exist in groups or colonies in which each female builds and provisions her nest without assistance from other bees (Stephen *et al.* 1969).

Compared to honey bees, some wild bees have proved to be more efficient pollinators of certain crops because of unique and desirable behaviours. For example, Southeastern blueberry bees, *Hapropoda laboriosa* (F.), buzz-pollinate blossoms by shaking pollen from the flower with high-frequency muscle vibrations. For blueberry, this greatly improves pollination efficiency (Cane & Payne 1988). One solitary bee used for commercial crop pollination is the alfalfa leafcutting bee, *Megachile rotundata* (F.), which is extensively employed for the production of alfalfa seed (Pedersen *et al.* 1972). The efficiency of honey bees and alfalfa leafcutting bees as pollinators in soybean has been described with contrasting results. Roumet & Magnier (1993) evaluated the aptitude of alfalfa leafcutting bees to pollinate *ms2ms2* soybean male-sterile plants in caged plots. They reported that alfalfa leafcutting bees were effective in producing high quantities of hybrid seed. Soybean *ms2ms2* male-sterile, female-fertile plants are mutants that lack the ability to produce viable pollen; the male sterility is controlled by a single recessive allele and it is due to an abortion of tetrads. Callose dissolution and initiation of microspore walls do not occur, sterile anthers are found to be completely empty at maturity and they are morphologically distinct from fertile anthers (Graybosch *et al.* 1984). Koelling *et al.* (1981) did not observe significant differences in seed production on *ms2ms2* male-sterile soybean plants using either honey bees or leafcutting bees as pollinators. Both bee species were equally effective as pollinator vectors to produce seed on male-sterile soybean plants. Zhao *et al.* (1999) found that both species (honey bees and alfalfa leafcutting bees) increased seed-set on *ms2ms2* soybean male-sterile plants evaluated in caged plots.

Lewers *et al.* (1996) used three planting patterns to evaluate outcrossing in *ms6ms6* soybean plants under open-field conditions, with honey bees as pollinator. Soybean *ms6ms6* plants are male-sterile, female-fertile mutants unable to produce normal pollen. The male sterility is controlled by a single recessive allele. Male-sterile plants (*ms6ms6*) have smaller flowers (compared to normal type), reduced, shrunken anthers, degenerating microspore tissue imprisoned in locules and a lack of normal pollen in the anthers. A pleiotropic effect of the *ms6* allele on flower size and a close linkage of the *ms6* allele with the *w1* locus

(flower colour) are unique traits for this male-sterile, female-fertile mutant (Skorupska & Palmer 1989; Palmer & Lewers 1998). Environmental conditions were observed to strongly influence the honey bee efficiency as pollinator and seed-set varied considerably from year to year. For example, the lowest seed-set (1.7 seeds/male-sterile plant) occurred in 1993, whereas the highest seed-set (41.8 seeds/male-sterile plant) occurred in 1994 (Lewers *et al.* 1996). Another male-sterile soybean line evaluated for seed-set is male-sterile *ms1ms1*, which presents defects in cytokinesis following telophase; the four-nucleate microspores develop a pollen-like wall and become engorged with lipid and starch reserves (Brim & Young 1971; Albertsen & Palmer 1979).

Pollinator behaviour and efficiency under caged conditions may have little or no relevance to pollinator behaviour and efficiency under open-field conditions. Evaluation for economical hybrid soybean seed production requires research to be conducted under field conditions. The objective of the present study was to evaluate seed-set on male-sterile, female-fertile soybean lines by using alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees as pollinators under field conditions for three growing seasons at two locations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Plant materials*

Four soybean lines obtained from the USDA Soybean Genetic Type Collection (USDA-ARS, Urbana, Illinois, USA) were evaluated. The Soybean Genetic Type Collection comprises strains with qualitative genetics traits. These strains are assigned T numbers, where an H suffix indicates that the allele is carried in the heterozygote (Table 1). Two lines segregating for male sterility at the *ms1* and *ms2* loci and two others segregating for the *ms6* locus were evaluated for hybrid seed-set (Table 1). The lines are of maturity groups (MGs) II and IV. MG refers to the responsiveness of different cultivars to photoperiod, which affects the time to flowering and maturity. Cultivars are usually placed in one of 13 groups. MG 000 is the designation for the earliest cultivars. The latest MG is X (Whigham & Minor 1978). Three lines had purple flowers and one had white flowers. Two lines displayed grey pubescence, and two had tawny pubescence (Table 1). These lines were selected based on high seed-set or low seed-set observed in previous experiments (Ortiz-Perez *et al.* 2006). The cultivar 'Apex' was used as the pollen donor for all male-sterile lines. 'Apex' is a MG III soybean with white flower colour and tawny pubescence.

### *Field experiments*

A randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications per male-sterile line was used. The

Table 1. Male-sterile, female-fertile soybean lines evaluated for seed-set at Ames, IA in 2003 and 2005, and at Wooster, OH in 2003, 2004 and 2005

Line	Designation	Maturity group	Flower colour	Pubescence colour	References
<i>ms1</i> (Urbana)	T266H	IV	Purple	Tawny	Boerma & Cooper 1978; Palmer <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>ms2</i> (Ames 2)	T375H	II	Purple	Grey	Cervantes-Martinez <i>et al.</i> 2007
<i>ms6</i> (Ames 1)	T295H	II	White	Tawny	Skorupska & Palmer 1989; Palmer & Skorupska 1990
<i>ms6</i> (Corsoy 79)	*	II	Purple	Grey	Palmer & Lewers 1998

\* Near-isogenic line segregating at the *ms6* locus (from T295).

lines were grown at the Bruner farm near Ames, Iowa, USA in summers 2003 and 2005 and at the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center (OARDC) farm near Wooster, Ohio, USA during summers 2003, 2004, and 2005. Each year, the lines were grown in four-row plots, 3 m long, with 0.76 m between rows and 0.60 m between plots. The two centre rows were the female rows and the two outside rows were the male parent, 'Apex'. Seeds were planted at 46 seeds/m<sup>2</sup>. Each experiment was surrounded by eight rows of 'Apex'. At the Ames location (Clarion-Nicollet loam soil type), at flowering stage R1 (Fehr *et al.* 1971; i.e. the reproductive stage in soybean plants in which one flower can be observed at any node), alfalfa leafcutting bee domiciles were placed in alley-ways surrounding the plots. The domiciles contained alfalfa leafcutting bee pupae. The bees emerged as adults 2 days later. Hobbs (1967) stated that alfalfa fields could be thoroughly pollinated in 3 weeks with about 96 000 leafcutting bees/ha. For new seedlings, 50 000 leafcutting bees/ha were recommended and for established stands, 100 000 leafcutting bees/ha were required for good pollination (Baird & Bitner 1991). Although there is no established density for alfalfa leafcutting bees to pollinate soybean, a conservative number was chosen based on the total land area used in the experiment. Thus, five domiciles were placed at the north end of the plots, facing south. Altogether, around 3000 alfalfa leafcutting bees were released, where the sex ratio was 2:1 males to females (Peterson *et al.* 1992). For the control entries, the experimental design and replications was the same as described for the plots with alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees. The control entries consisted of the same male-sterile lines without alfalfa leafcutting bee domiciles or honey bee hives placed at flowering time. To ensure that the seed-set observed was the result of true insect pollination, at the beginning of flowering R1 (Fehr *et al.* 1971), the male-sterile plants were sprayed with insecticide. The active ingredient was composed of the synthetic pyrethroid lambda-cyhalothrin and dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate, applied at a rate of 200 ml/ha, with 10-day

intervals between applications. This was continued until the flowering period R2 (Fehr *et al.* 1971) ended. R2 is the reproductive stage in soybean plants where flowers at the node immediately below the uppermost node with a completely unrolled leaf can be observed. At flowering R2 (Fehr *et al.* 1971), the male-sterile plants were identified by the absence of pollen production and were labelled. Male-fertile siblings were cut out. After removing male-fertile sibling plants, final stands averaged 7–10 male-sterile plants/m. Flowering extended for 33–39 days, depending upon the year. Once flowering ended, the domiciles were removed. At maturity R8 (Fehr *et al.* 1971), i.e. the reproductive stage in soybean plants where 0.95 of the pods are brown (called harvest maturity), the numbers of pods and seeds per male-sterile plant were recorded.

For the honey bee experiment at Ames, plant materials and procedures for the male-sterile plants were the same as for the alfalfa leafcutting bee experiment. The density of honey bees used to pollinate soybean varies from 7 to 12 hives/ha (Manning 1998). According to this information, four single-storey honey bee hives were established in the honey bee experiment, each single-storey containing around 6000 bees. Two hives were placed at the north end of the plots and two hives were placed in the centre alleys of the plots, all entrances facing to the south. The same number of hives was used for both seasons. Irrigation was applied for both seasons before flowering at stage V4 (Fehr *et al.* 1971), which occurs when *N* number of nodes on the main stem (beginning with the unifoliate node) can be observed and at maturity when appropriate.

At Wooster (Wooster silt loam and Ravenna silt loam soil), alfalfa leafcutting bee boards were placed in alley-ways surrounding the plots at flowering stage R2 (Fehr *et al.* 1971). The boards contained around 10 000 bee pupae, which were preincubated at 22 °C for 3 days, after which they were incubated at 30 °C for 4 days. The experimental design and number of replications were the same as at Ames. The control entries were treated the same way as the procedure

described for Ames. Male-sterile plants were identified by absence of pollen production and were labelled. Male-fertile siblings were cut out. At maturity R8 (Fehr *et al.* 1971), the number of pods and seeds per male-sterile plant also were recorded. The alfalfa leafcutting bee boards were removed at harvest.

For the honey bee experiment, plant materials and procedures for the male-sterile plants were the same as described previously for Ames. Unlike at the Ames location, one honey beehive containing around 50 000 workers was placed in the middle of the plots. Irrigation was applied before flowering at stage V4 (Fehr *et al.* 1971) only in 2005.

The studies were conducted under open-field conditions and the experimental plots were at the same farm but separated from each other by a distance of 510 m between the alfalfa leafcutting bee plots and the honey bee plots. The separation was necessary to minimize any confounding effects regarding any mixing or overlapping of the two bee species foraging in the same field. Leafcutting bees are efficient; 150 of these bees can do the work of 3000 honey bees (Smith-Heavenrich 1998); thus, the ratio of alfalfa leafcutting bees to honey bees was smaller at both locations.

Maximum and minimum air temperature, rainfall and relative humidity throughout the growing seasons were obtained from the Iowa Environmental Mesonet (IEM) for the Ames experiments, and from the OARDC for the Wooster experiments.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance with PROC GLM of SAS (SAS 2003). A RCBD was used, where the linear model was:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + Y_i + L_j + YL_{ij} + B_k + YB_{ik} + LB_{jk} \\ + YLB_{ijk} + S_l + YS_{il} + LS_{jl} + YLS_{ijl},$$

where  $\mu$  = the general mean;  $Y_i$  = the effect of the  $i$ th year;  $L_j$  = the effect of the  $j$ th location;  $YL_{ij}$  = the interaction effect between the  $i$ th year and the  $j$ th location;  $B_k$  = the effect of the  $k$ th pollinator species;  $YB_{ik}$  = interaction effect between the  $i$ th year and the  $k$ th pollinator species;  $LB_{jk}$  = interaction effect between the  $j$ th location and the  $k$ th pollinator species;  $YLB_{ijk}$  = interaction effect of the  $i$ th year, the  $j$ th location, and the  $k$ th pollinator species;  $S_l$  = the effect of the  $l$ th male-sterile line;  $YS_{il}$  = the interaction effect between the  $i$ th year and the  $l$ th male-sterile line;  $LS_{jl}$  = the interaction effect between the  $j$ th location and the  $l$ th male-sterile line;  $YLS_{ijl}$  = the interaction effect between the  $i$ th year, the  $j$ th location, and the  $l$ th male-sterile line.

A factorial design was conducted where replications, locations and years were treated as random factors. Male-sterile lines and pollinator species were

treated as fixed factors. The mean number of seeds per male-sterile plant across lines was compared across years and locations. The fixed effects of male-sterile lines and pollinator species were tested by using the expected means squares as error terms from their interaction effects with years and locations. Effects were considered significant in all statistical calculations when  $P < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

Due to the differences in the years of data collection at the two locations (Ames in 2003 and 2005; Wooster in 2003, 2004 and 2005), the data were analysed separately for each location. At Ames, the combined analysis of variance for seed-set across years indicated significant differences for the effects of male-sterile lines and the interaction effect of year  $\times$  male-sterile line. The effects of years and pollinator species and the interaction effect of year  $\times$  pollinator species were not significant (data not shown). At Wooster, the effects of years and male-sterile lines and the interaction effect of year  $\times$  pollinator species were significant. The effect of pollinator species was not significant for any year or location.

### Ames

#### Environmental effects and seed-set

Total rainfall and relative humidity were slightly higher at flowering time (July) in 2003 than in 2005 (Table 2). The maximum average air temperature was slightly higher during June and July 2005. Nonetheless, significant departure from the normal values for these variables was not observed. The grand mean across the lines evaluated within each year across pollinator species was 18 seeds per male-sterile line for 2003; for 2005 it was 13 seeds per male-sterile line (Table 3).

A wide range of values was observed for seed-set among the male-sterile lines. Although the control male-sterile plants were sprayed with insecticide to avoid the presence of pollinators, a few seeds were observed in the male-sterile entries (data not presented). The few seeds were assumed to be the result of cross-pollination. Averaged over years, the effect of male-sterile line on seed-set was significant. In 2005, the *ms1* (Urbana) male-sterile line had the highest mean value (50 seeds per male-sterile plant) followed by *ms2* (Ames 2) with 19 seeds per male-sterile plant, and *ms6* (Ames 1) with 18. The lowest mean for seed-set (3 seeds per male-sterile plant) was observed in the line Corsoy 79 segregating for male sterility at the *ms6* locus (Table 3). For the latter, the mean value for seed-set had minimal variation across years (Table 3). The line *ms6* (Ames 1) presented one of the lowest means for 2003, but its mean value for seed-set in 2005 was much higher (nearly six-fold over

Table 2. Monthly means of minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) air temperature, rainfall and relative humidity (RH) from 1 June to 31 August at Ames, IA in 2003 and 2005 and at Wooster, OH in 2003, 2004 and 2005

Location	Year	Month	Temperature (°C)		Rainfall (mm)	RH (%)
			Min	Max		
Ames	2003	June	13	25	60	66
		July	17	27	89	80
		August	17	29	21	75
	2005	June	16	28	87	74
		July	17	30	52	75
		August	17	28	71	79
Wooster	2003	June	12	24	100	81
		July	15	28	182	83
		August	16	28	95	86
	2004	June	11	24	161	83
		July	15	27	91	84
		August	13	26	123	85
	2005	June	15	29	35	73
		July	17	30	102	76
		August	16	28	122	79

Table 3. Mean number of seeds per male-sterile soybean plant by using alfalfa leafcutting bees (ALCB) and honey bees (HB) as pollinators at Ames, IA in 2003 and 2005

Line	Mean number of seeds per male-sterile plant					
	2003		2005		Mean	
	ALCB	HB	ALCB	HB	2003 and 2005	2005
<i>ms1</i> (Urbana)	—	—	46	55	—	50
<i>ms2</i> (Ames 2)	48	48	20	18	34	19
<i>ms6</i> (Ames 1)	3	3	22	14	10	18
Corsoy 79*	5	1	4	3	3	3
Mean	18.5	17.2	23.0	22.3	15.7	22.7
S.E. (3 D.F.)	1.30	1.82	1.93	1.67		
Mean per year	17.8†		13.5†			

\* Near-isogenic line segregating at the *ms6* locus (from T295).

† Average mean across the lines evaluated within each year across pollinator species.

2003) (Table 3). On the contrary, *ms2* (Ames 2) had higher seed-set (48 seeds per male-sterile plant) across pollinators in 2003 compared with 19 seeds per male-sterile plant across pollinators in 2005. This variation contributed to the significant interaction effect of year  $\times$  male-sterile line.

#### Pollinator species

During flowering time when the plants were scored for male fertility and male sterility, bee activity on soybean flowers was observed for both pollinator

species. These observations were made on a daily basis for at least 3 weeks. In the case of the alfalfa leafcutting bees, it was difficult to observe pollen transfer because the pollen load is carried on the abdomen. Alfalfa leafcutting bees were observed foraging actively in the north end of the plots at the beginning of the flowering season and plants started to flower first in that area. In the honey bee plots, foraging activity was also observed in the north end of the plots. The honey bees were able to maintain the colony and produced an excess of honey (from 2 to 3 frames).

Table 4. Mean number of seeds per male-sterile soybean plant by using ALCB and HB as pollinators at Wooster OH in 2003, 2004 and 2005

Line	Mean number of seeds per male-sterile plant						Mean	
	2003		2004		2005			
	ALCB	HB	ALCB	HB	ALCB	HB	2003–05	2005
<i>ms1</i> (Urbana)	60	55	30	12	87	97	57	92
<i>ms2</i> (Ames 2)	71	74	62	46	101	79	72	90
<i>ms6</i> (Ames 1)	15	8	16	6	47	105	33	76
Corsoy 79*	18	13	23	12	—	—	—	—
Mean	41.1	37.5	32.6	19.2	78.3	93.4	47.1	85.5
S.E. (3 D.F.)	3.69	2.95	2.07	1.44	5.43	8.61		
Mean per year	47.2†		28.7†		85.9†			

\* Near-isogenic line segregating at the *ms6* locus (from T295).

† Average mean across the lines evaluated within each year across pollinator species.

The direct effect of pollinator species and the interaction effect of pollinator species  $\times$  year were not significant. The ranking for seed-set among the evaluated lines was the same regardless of pollinator species (Table 3). Averaged across years and male-sterile lines, the mean number of seeds per male-sterile line for the plots pollinated by alfalfa leafcutting bees was 21 and was 20 for the plots pollinated by honey bees.

#### Wooster

##### Environmental effects and seed-set

In 2003 and 2004, maximum air temperature and relative humidity were very similar. In 2004, rainfall was almost half of that observed at flowering time (July) in 2003 (Table 2). The 2005 season was slightly warmer and dryer, and total rainfall was less during the growing season when compared with 2003 and 2004 (Table 2). However, unlike 2003 and 2004, the plots for both experiments were irrigated in 2005 before flowering, at stage V4 (Fehr *et al.* 1971). Notably, a large increase in seed-set was observed in 2005. The mean seed-set for 2005 across lines was 86 seeds per male-sterile line, followed by 47 and 29 seeds per male-sterile line in 2003 and 2004, respectively (Table 4). The results observed in the control entries were comparable to Ames, where cross-pollinated seed-set was very low and mainly vestigial pods where observed.

The main effect of male-sterile lines on seed-set was significant. Averaged over all 3 years and pollinator species, the male-sterile line *ms2* (Ames 2) presented the highest mean value followed by *ms1* (Urbana); the lowest mean was observed in the line *ms6* (Ames 1) (Table 4). The effect of year was significant, thus separate analyses of variance were conducted for each year. A significant interaction effect of year  $\times$  male-sterile line was observed, but the ranking of the lines

was not affected in 2003 and 2004 where the mean seed-set for each year was similar. For 2005, the year with the highest seed-set for all lines, significant differences between *ms1* (Urbana), *ms2* (Ames 2) and *ms6* (Ames 1) were not observed. This was in contrast to 2003 and 2004 when seed-set on *ms6* (Ames 1) produced significantly lower seed-set, suggesting a strong year effect.

#### Pollinator species

Foraging alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees were not recorded on a regular basis. However, honey bees or alfalfa leafcutting bees were seen actively foraging in the soybean plots. As observed at Ames, the soybean plots were blooming at a time when little else was flowering in the surrounding area. It was assumed that the pollinator species mostly foraged in the soybean plots. The bee populations of either species did not decrease; the honey bees were able to maintain the colony, but did not store surplus honey.

The effect of pollinator species was not significant for any of the 3 years. The mean across years for number of seeds per male-sterile line for the plots with alfalfa leafcutting bees was 51 and for the plots with honey bees, the mean number of seed per male-sterile line was 50. The plots with alfalfa leafcutting bees presented a higher mean than the plots with honey bees in 2004 only (Table 4). This accounted for the significant interaction effect of pollinator species  $\times$  year, although the ranking for seed-set among the evaluated lines was the same regardless of pollinator species.

## DISCUSSION

#### Environments and seed-set

The overall seed-set on male-sterile plants was higher at Wooster than at Ames. Environmental conditions

characterized by warm temperatures (within the range for normal soybean plant development) were observed at both locations. However, Wooster had more rainfall during the growing seasons than did Ames. The experimental plots at Ames were irrigated both seasons; thus, it is unlikely that such difference in performance was due to water stress. The plots at Wooster were irrigated only early in the 2005 growing season. Sweeney *et al.* (2003) reported that yield increases in early maturity irrigated soybean were only 20% compared with non-irrigated plots and would probably be less when environmental conditions were favourable. In the present study, the highest seed-set for the study was observed in 2005, the only season the Wooster location was irrigated.

Seed-set on the male-sterile plants is dependent upon cross-pollination, thus environmental factors that affect pollinator activity are important. Significant seed-set was not observed in the control entries (male-sterile lines in absence of pollinators and insecticide sprays applied). The plant–pollinator interaction is very complex and it is influenced by both biotic factors (i.e. floral structure, timing of anthesis and quantity and quality of floral rewards) (Erickson 1975; Corbet 1990) and abiotic factors (i.e. temperature, wind and solar radiation) (Corbet 1990). Robacker *et al.* (1983) stated that environmental conditions which promoted greater flower production, larger flower size, more intensely coloured flowers and higher nectar secretion favoured greater attractiveness to pollinators. In the present study, the higher seed-set observed at Wooster could certainly be influenced by fixed environmental conditions such as soil type, fertility, etc. In soybean, it has been reported that nectar secretion increases with increased air and daytime soil temperature and soil nitrogen and decreases with increasing soil phosphorus levels (Robacker *et al.* 1983). Differences among locations in those conditions could affect the performance of the pollinator species through the differences in the amount and quality of the reward (nectar and pollen) obtained. Similarly, a meta-analysis of published research on relationships between plant rewards and bee visitation by Widrechner & Senechal (1992) indicated that intraspecific differences in floral display and rewards often were positively correlated to observed levels of bee activity.

In the present study, the mean seed-set observed across male-sterile lines, years and locations was higher than that previously observed (Ortiz-Perez *et al.* 2006). In the studies conducted by Ortiz-Perez *et al.* 2006, soybean lines segregating for male sterility at 10 different loci were evaluated by using alfalfa leafcutting bees as pollinator at Ames. One difference in the study by Ortiz-Perez *et al.* (2006) was that male-fertile sibling plants were not cut out, so plant density was higher. In the present study, removing the fertile sibling plants resulted in a wider spacing between

male-sterile plants, which could contribute to higher out-crossed seed-set per plant. Roumet & Magnier (1993) reported that widely spaced male-sterile soybean plants segregating for the *ms2* locus presented flowers on lateral inflorescences later in the season compared to the central inflorescence flowers. In this way, an extended flowering period (cross-pollination) and consequently higher seed-set was obtained. In their study, Ortiz-Perez *et al.* (2006) used as male parents the fertile siblings of their male-sterile lines, which could cause an unfavourable effect. In the present study, a commercial unrelated line (Apex) was used as male parent. The levels of seed-set in studies reported in the literature and in the present study indicate that insect transfer of pollen may not be the limiting factor in producing economical levels of hybrid soybean seed.

The seed-set observed in the line *ms1* (Urbana) across years and locations was similar to that reported by Graybosch & Palmer (1988), who used alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees as pollinators. The rankings for seed-set observed in the present study for *ms2* (Ames 2) and *ms6* (Ames 1) are also in agreement with previous research (Ortiz-Perez *et al.* 2006), where lines carrying *ms2* (Ames 2) and *ms6* (Ames 1) produced the highest and lowest seed-set, respectively, although the overall seed-set for both lines in their study was lower than that reported in the present study.

#### *Pollinator species*

Comparisons of the performance of alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees as pollination vectors have been conducted at least since the mid-1970s. The successful use of alfalfa leafcutting bees and honey bees as pollinators in soybean male-sterile plants has been reported (Koelling *et al.* 1981; Graybosch & Palmer 1988; Roumet & Magnier 1993; Zhao *et al.* 1999). However, these studies were conducted with caged plots. Abrams *et al.* (1978) studied the effects of honey bees and alfalfa leafcutting bees on three soybean commercial cultivators in Indiana. Alfalfa leafcutting bees experienced almost 60% reduction in their population, and visitation to soybean flowers was observed to be minimal. With honey bees the colony experienced at least a two-fold increase in population, but the out crossing rate was very small, and no significant increases in seed yield were recorded.

In the present study, no significant differences were found between the two pollinator species based on seed-set in either year or location under open-field conditions. Environmental factors and health conditions for both alfalfa leafcutting bees and the honey bees were favourable, which should have given both pollinator species relatively equal opportunities to forage. The years when the experiments were

conducted did not present extreme high or low temperatures that could affect the foraging behaviour of either species. No parasitism or disease was detected on the bees and water supply was appropriate. The population of both pollinator species at Wooster was higher than those at Ames, which could have had an effect on the higher seed-set produced at Wooster. However, putting an excess number of bee colonies in soybean plots apparently does not have a discernable effect in increasing the pollination rate and seed-set (J. Tew, personal communication, 2006).

Alternatively, the presence of additional pollinators (other wild bee species) at Wooster may also have played some role, but there is no data to evaluate this possibility. Pollinator-specific preference patterns for male-sterile lines were not observed; i.e. the male-sterile lines that produced the highest seed-set in the alfalfa leafcutting plots were the same as those that produced the highest seed-set in the honey bee plots. The cultivar 'Apex' was used as the pollen donor for all male-sterile lines at both locations, which provided pollen and nectar, while for the male-sterile lines, nectar was the only source of food for pollinators.

There seems to be a basis for preferential foraging by honey bees in relation to soybean nectar carbohydrate composition (Severson 1983; Erickson 1984) and concentration (Sheppard *et al.* 1979). A basis for preferential foraging in soybean by alfalfa leafcutting bees in relation to floral rewards has not been reported. However, the results of the present study indicate that soybean lines offered floral rewards that equally attracted (as evidenced by higher seed-set) or discouraged (as evidenced by lower seed-set) both

pollinators. However, neither nectar composition nor quantity were measured in the present experiments, because the main objective first was to establish any differential efficiency among pollinators as monitored through seed-set.

Seed-set on four male-sterile, female-fertile soybean lines was evaluated at two locations and 3 years by using two insect pollinator insect species. Significant differences for seed-set among male-sterile lines were observed. Differences in seed-set between the two locations were also evident. Both locations presented similar temperature patterns for normal soybean plant development. However, differences in environmental conditions, such as soil type and fertility, which can affect plant development along with pollinator performance, could have caused differences in seed-set among locations. In the present study, honey bees performed similarly to alfalfa leafcutting bees; neither the effect of pollinator species nor the interaction effect of pollinator species  $\times$  location were significant for any year. Relative costs and local conditions need to be addressed to support the choice of either pollinator species as a pollination vector to produce hybrid soybean seed.

We thank Drs Kenneth Moore and Mark Widrechner (ISU, USDA-ARS) for their suggestions and assistance. The assistance and technical support by Sharon McClurg is much appreciated. We also thank Dairyland Seed Co., Inc. and Verde Seed Co., Inc. for partial support of this project. Thanks are due to Dr R. L. Nelson, the Curator of the Soybean Genetic Type Collection.

## REFERENCES

- ABRAMS, R. I., EDWARDS, C. R. & HARRIS, T. (1978). Yields and cross-pollination of soybean as affected by honey bees and alfalfa leafcutting bees. *American Bee Journal* **118**, 555–560.
- ALBERTSEN, M. C. & PALMER, R. G. (1979). A comparative light- and electron-microscopic study of microsporogenesis in male-sterile (*ms1*) and male-fertile soybeans (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *American Journal of Botany* **66**, 253–265.
- ARROYO, M. T. K. (1981). Breeding systems and pollination biology in Leguminosae. In *Advances in Legume Systematics* (Eds R. M. Polhill & P. H. Raven), pp. 723–769. Kew, UK: Royal Botanic Gardens Publishers.
- BAIRD, C. R. & BITNER, R. M. (1991). *Loose Cell Management of Alfalfa Leafcutting Bees in Idaho*. Current Information Series no. 588. Idaho, USA: University of Idaho.
- BOERMA, H. R. & COOPER, R. L. (1978). Increased female fertility associated with the *ms1* locus in soybean. *Crop Science* **18**, 344–346.
- BRADNER, N. R. (1969). Hybrid soybeans: fiction or fact? *Soybean Digest* **29**, 16–17.
- BRIM, C. A. & YOUNG, M. F. (1971). Inheritance of a male-sterile character in soybeans. *Crop Science* **11**, 564–566.
- CANE, J. H. & PAYNE, J. A. (1988). Foraging ecology of the bee *Hapropoda laboriosa* (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae), an oligolege of blueberries (Ericaceae: *Vaccinium*) in the southeastern United States. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **81**, 419–427.
- CERVANTES MARTINEZ, I. G., XU, M., ZHANG, L., HUANG, Z., KATO, K. K., HORNER, H. T. & PALMER, R. G. (2007). Molecular mapping of the male-sterility loci *ms2*, and *ms9* in soybean. *Crop Science* **47**, 374–379.
- CORBET, S. A. (1990). Pollination and weather. *Israel Journal of Botany* **39**, 13–30.
- DELAFLANE, K. S. & MAYER, D. F. (2000). Soybean. In *Crop Pollination by Bees* (Eds K. S. Delaplane & D. F. Mayer), pp. 254–256. New York: CABI Publishing.
- ERICKSON, E. H. (1975). Variability of floral characteristics influences honey bee visitation to soybean blossoms. *Crop Science* **15**, 767–771.



- ERICKSON, E. H. (1983). Pollination of entomophilous hybrid seed parents. In *Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology* (Eds C. E. Jones & R. J. Little), pp. 493–535. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- ERICKSON, E. H. (1984). Soybean pollination and honey production: a research progress report. *American Bee Journal* **124**, 775–779.
- ERICKSON, E. H. & GARMENT, M. B. (1979). Soya-bean flowers: nectary ultra-structure, nectar guides, and orientation on the flower by foraging honeybees. *Journal of Apicultural Research* **18**, 3–1.
- FEHR, W. R., CAVINESS, C. E., BURMOOD, D. T. & PENNINGTON, J. S. (1971). Stage of development descriptions for soybeans, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. *Crop Science* **11**, 929–931.
- GRAYBOSCH, R. A. & PALMER, R. G. (1988). Male sterility in soybean: an overview. *American Journal of Botany* **75**, 144–156.
- GRAYBOSCH, R. A., BERNARD, R. L., CREEMENS, C. R. & PALMER, R. G. (1984). Genetic and cytological studies of a male-sterile, female-fertile soybean mutant: a new male-sterile gene (*ms2*) in *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. *The Journal of Heredity* **75**, 383–388.
- HOBBS, G. A. (1967). *Domestication of Alfalfa Leafcutting Bees*. Canadian Department of Agricultural Publications 1313. Ottawa, Canada: Agricultural and Agri-Food Canada.
- HORNER, H. T., HEALY, R. A., CERVANTES-MARTINEZ, T. & PALMER, R. G. (2003). Floral nectary fine structure and development in *Glycine max* L. (Fabaceae). *International Journal of Plant Science* **164**, 675–690.
- JULIANO, J. C. (1976). Entomophilous pollination of soybean. *Congresso Brasileira de Apicultura* **4**, 235–239.
- KOELLING, P. D., KENWORTHY, W. J. & CARON, D. M. (1981). Pollination of male-sterile soybeans in caged plots. *Crop Science* **21**, 559–561.
- LEWERS, K. S., ST. MARTIN, S. K., HEDGES, B. R., WIDRLECHNER, M. P. & PALMER, R. G. (1996). Hybrid soybean seed production: Comparison of three methods. *Crop Science* **36**, 1560–1567.
- MANNING, R. (1998). *Honeybee Pollination: Technical Data for Potential Honeybee-pollinated Crops and Orchards in Western Australia*. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia. Available online at: [http://www.agric.wa.gov.au/content/aap/hbh/bulletin4298\\_index.htm](http://www.agric.wa.gov.au/content/aap/hbh/bulletin4298_index.htm) (verified 4 December 2007).
- ORTIZ-PEREZ, E., HORNER, H. T., HANLIN, S. J. & PALMER, R. G. (2006). Insect-mediated seed-set evaluation of 21 soybean lines segregating for male sterility at 10 different loci. *Euphytica* **152**, 351–360.
- PALMER, R. G. & LEWERS, K. S. (1998). Registration of 68 soybean germplasm lines segregating for male sterility. *Crop Science* **38**, 560–562.
- PALMER, R. G. & SKORUPSKA, H. (1990). Registration of a male-sterile genetic stock (T295H). *Crop Science* **30**, 244.
- PALMER, R. G., WINGER, C. L. & ALBERTSEN, M. C. (1978). Four independent mutations at the *ms1* locus in soybeans. *Crop Science* **18**, 727–729.
- PEDERSEN, M. W., BOHART, G. E., MARBLE, V. L. & KLOSTERMEYER, E. C. (1972). Seed production practices. In *Alfalfa: Science and Technology* (Ed. C. H. Hanson), pp. 689–720. Agronomy Monograph 15. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy.
- PETERSON, S. S., BAIRD, C. R. & BITNER, R. M. (1992). Current status of the alfalfa leafcutting bee, *Megachile rotundata* (F.), as a pollinator of alfalfa seed. *Bee Science* **2**, 135–142.
- ROBACKER, D. C., FLOTTUM, P. K., SAMMATARO, D. & ERICKSON, E. H. (1983). Effects of climatic and edaphic factors on soybean flowers and the subsequent attractiveness of the plants to honey bees. *Field Crops Research* **6**, 267–278.
- ROUMET, P. & MAGNIER, I. (1993). Estimation of hybrid seed production and efficient pollen flow using insect pollination of male-sterile soybeans in caged plots. *Euphytica* **70**, 61–67.
- SAS Institute. (2003). *SAS-STAT User's Guide*, Version 9.0. Cary, NC, USA: SAS Institute, Inc.
- SEVERSON, D. W. (1983). *Honey bee and soybean: Analyses of floral chemistry relating to foraging preferences*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- SHEPPARD, W. S., JAYCOX, E. R. & PARISE, S. G. (1979). Selection and management of honey bees for pollination of soybeans, pp. 123–130. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Pollination Symposium, 11–13 October 1979*. College Park, MD, USA.
- SKORUPSKA, H. & PALMER, R. G. (1989). Genetics and cytology of the *ms6* male-sterile soybean. *Journal of Heredity* **80**, 304–310.
- SMITH-HEAVENRICH, S. (1998). Going native with pollinators. *Maine Organic Farmer and Gardener* **March–May**, 16–17.
- STEPHEN, W. P., BOHART, G. E. & TORCHIO, P. F. (1969). *The Biology and External Morphology of Bees with a Synopsis of the Genera of Northwestern America*. Corvallis, OR, USA: Agricultural Experimental Station Oregon State University.
- SWEENEY, D. W., LONG, J. H. & KIRKHAM, M. B. (2003). A single irrigation to improve early maturing soybean yield and quality. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **67**, 235–240.
- WIDRLECHNER, M. P. & SENECHAL, N. P. (1992). Relationships between nectar production and honey bee preference. *Bee World* **73**, 119–127.
- WHIGHAM, D. K. & MINOR, H. C. (1978). Agronomic characteristics and environmental stress. In *Soybean: Physiology, Agronomy, and Utilization* (Ed. A. G. Norman), pp. 79–80. New York: Academic Press.
- ZHAO, L., SUN, H., MA, C. & HUANG, M. (1999). Preliminary study of soybean pollination by bees. *Soybean Science* **18**, 73–76.